

— ecclesial news —

THE SYNOD OF THE YOUNG. THE CHURCH, AFTER THE SHOAH OF THE CATHOLIC WORLD, WILL BE JUDGED TO THE NEW PROCESS OF NUREMBERG, WHERE CARDINALS AND BISHOPS WILL REPLY TO THE JUDGES: "I ONLY OBEYED THE HIGHER ORDERS".

In the most inappropriate historical-ecclesial moment, a Youth Synod is taking place, while the visible Church, after the Shoah of Catholicism, is about to end up in the Nuremberg court, which will issue a sentence that will remain written in history. In the course of this process, the culprits who brought the Church into the court of justice before the eyes of the world will be judged for committing great crimes against the Church, the mystical body of Christ [cf. Col 1, 12-20]. And as happened seventy years ago with the Nazi leaders, we will hear cardinals and bishops, respond to the judges: "I only obeyed the higher orders".



Author Ariel S. Levi di Gualdo *Italian presbyter*

The impression one has is that the synods are probably set up as the Assembly of Deputies of the Soviet Union, or as it is now the Parliament of North Korea. We admit that we can also discuss within the synods, as happened during the Synod on the family. Naturally, that we proceed to discuss, the synods are celebrated precisely for this purpose. What is the purpose of the discussions, however, when in the final document, previously, we have seen the approval of what the Synod Fathers have rejected decisively and with a broad threshold? In fact, if the Court of Miracles, as I call it, or the Magic Circle, as Cardinal Gerhard Ludwig Müller calls it, already has a ready and approved program, what is the usefulness of synods? Perhaps saving the appearance of collegiality in the same way that the young dictator of North

Korea, Kim Jong, wants to have a semblance of parliamentary democracy? And what happened to the dissidents of Korea, maybe they ended up tied on the missiles and then launched during the experimental tests?

© L'Isola di Patmos - The Island of Patmos

Rivista telematica di teologia ecclesiale e di aggiornamento pastorale - Telematic magazine of ecclesial theology and pastoral updating article published October 7, 2018. Author: Father Ariel S. Levi di Gualdo



We come to the agenda of the Court of Miracles or the Magic Circle: the Youth Synod will have to serve, as already amply demonstrated, to offer some recognition to the LBGT lobby. And although the youth representatives have never used or mentioned this acronym in their programmatic documents, the mention was made by His Eminence Cardinal Lorenzo Baldisserri, without neglecting to express himself in an imprecise and contradictory way, which in some way is tantamount to lying [see HERE]

The use of the acronym LGBT, which means: lesbian, gay, bisexual and transsexual, is first of all a question of form and law, which later could have implications in the doctrine and the magisterium. Let's try to understand: if in the work Gai Institutionum Commentarii — which has nothing to do with gays, but with the famous jurist Gaius who compiled his comments the year 180 a.C. — the problem of oral sex had been inserted according to the jurisprudence of the principality of Augustus, dating back to 30 b.C., this erotic practice would then have a legal status in the system of Roman law. However, considering that the problem of oral sex does not exist in the law, it can not be inserted as a legal institution, even applying other laws in the most extensive form. And it does not exist because, in the law, there is no such thing as the legal institution of oral coitus. Jurisprudence can not treat or regulate what for the law does not exist. That's why in any kind of juridical system, both in Roman law and in common law, the use of words and terms is always very delicate, because the law, long before punishment, which is only the final act, aims to recognize, establish and then adjust. And a term inserted into juridical language automatically becomes a juridic term.

To this must be added, as an example, a fact that few in the visible Church of today can deny: in many Catholic theological institutes, when we speak of the Most Holy Eucharist, the theologies and terminologies drawn from Lutheran lexicon, are increasingly used; for example, the Lutheran terminology of consubstantiation. If in some Roman Pontifical universities one dares to refer to scholasticism and Thomism, then to the theological term of transubstantiation, one risks being derided or indicated as pre-conciliar (!?). And the mockers, whose prerogative is the most stupid ignorance, will continue to ignore that one of the two Supreme Pontiffs of the Second Vatican Council, the one who managed it, has brought forward and then closed, the Blessed Pontiff Paul VI, that soon will also be canonized, he defined as opportune and not replaceable this theological term of transubstantiation [Encyclical *Mysterium Fidei*, nr. 47, text HERE]. Or perhaps we must deduce that Blessed Pontiff Paul VI was actually a pre-conciliar? As I have written several times: in the visible Church of today the decrees of beatifica-



tion and canonization of the Roman Pontiffs are signed with one hand, with the other the documents that strike, or in some cases annul, their supreme magisterium.

Today we live in a visible Church where we can define the term of transubstantiation as scholastic-archaeological, then reject it in the halls of the pontifical universities. However, at the same time, we can insert ecclesiastical lexical terms such as LBGT, with the risk that this acronym luciferian assumed by the vocabulary of the Magisterium of the Church. And all this, cannot disconcert only the Cardinal Lorenzo Baldisseri, with all the *coryphaeum* of journalists *Pravda Pontifical*, for which never, as «at the time of this epochal revolution», things had gone so well. The facts show that things, at the height of the *Pontifical Russian Revolution*, are so good that the churches are increasingly empty, the disappointed faithful, the depressed clergy and the missing vocations. The abandonment of the priesthood has never been as numerous as in the last five years of the history of the Church, even if the Congregation for the Clergy is silent on the statistical, because it is presided over by another friend of *The Court of Miracles*, or *Magic Circle*.

Thanks be to God, Cardinal Charles Chaput, Metropolitan Archbishop of Philadelphia, made his voice heard and recalled the importance of using correct words [cf. chronicle: HERE, HERE], because at the level of Catholic doctrine, substance is linked to words: the words and external signs serve to express substance. And this should be known by all those who have studied, even in a non-exhaustive way, the first great dogmatic councils of the Church, where, to define the nature of Christ God, we have resorted to precise terms modulated by terminology of Greeks philosophers, such as concept of ὑπόστασις [hypostasis or hypostatic nature].

Someone, to define today — and therefore clarify — the various forms of exercise of human sexuality, since "male and female God created them" [Gen 1, 26-27], perhaps wants to insert into the ecclesial lexicon terms such as LGBT, to give life to a new



His Eccelency Monsignor Charles Joseph Chaput Metropolitan Archbishop of Philadelphia

quatripostatic human nature, the lesbian, gay, bisexual and transsexual nature?



The Synod on the family has left a divided and disoriented Church, as well as several deaths due to sudden infarcts in the ranks of the Lord's vineyard. And after the attempt to erase adultery, today the Synod of Youth has opened up, which seems to want to legitimize the various practices of sexual expression, without clarifying — as Archbishop Charles Chaput laments holyly — that LGBT Catholics cannot exist, and the Church cannot legitimize their existence. With another paradoxical example, we can ask: can a subject be defined as Catholic-atheist and claim, as atheist, his full membership in Catholicism? Yes, he can do it exactly to the extent that, a one transsexual proud of his transsexualism, he can call himself a catholic-transsexual and claim full right of citizenship in the body of the Catholic Church and claim full legitimacy of all his demands. Because if the enthusiastic singers of the «epochal revolution» had not noticed it, is completely new figure of Catholicism is born: the Catholic atheists. And these atheistic Catholics have a diabolical prerogative: to persecute the faithful believers fiercely.

We will see how this Synod will end, but above all we will see if, as in the previous one, what will be rejected by the Synodal Fathers will then end in the final document, if anything hidden, once again, in some ambiguous expression or footnote, which is equivalent to saying ... "I am intelligent, you are stupids!". But what counts is listening to everyone, giving the impression of being synodal, collegial and above all democratic, just like the Korean dictator Kim Jong, who first calls the puppet Parliament of North Korea, then does exactly what he wants.

After this Synod, opened with the insertion of the LGBT quatripostatic dogma, not required by anyone in the *Instrumentum Laboris*, in 2019 the Amazonic Synod, will also open, for to discuss the granting of the priesthood to married men. Attention, everything only ... ad experimentum. Meanwhile, in the Diocese of Rome, even if the fact has not made any news, the general rehearsals are already underway: a few days ago he was appointed "parish priest" of a metropolitan parish — of course: ad experimentum — a permanent deacon, arrived in canonical with his wife and four children. And this family nucleus, from the official page of the Deacons of Rome, which is also a filial of the Neocatechumenal Movement, has been indicated as ... «diaconal family» (!?) [Cf. service: HERE]. Having said this, I would like to know: can the members of my family, who have a presbyter in their family, be called the "presbyteral family"? And my mother and my brother can be called "presbyteral mother" and "presbyteral brother". Obviously extending the title to my "presbyteral sister-in-law" and to my "presbyteral nephew"? And perhaps we want to forget my cat, which would have the title of "presbyteral cat"? Needless to say that the speech would be really long, because those who do not



know the story is condemned to repeat it in a pejorative form. It is worth remembering, even if briefly and rapidly, that the diaconate, now called permanent, fell into disuse as an autonomous order and became a step in the process of presbyteral ordination. In fact, in Rome, between the eighth and tenth centuries, the deacons had acquired a pre-eminent role. The deacons were at the head of the main churches and did not even want to be ordained presbyters, because then, from these prestigious churches, they became directly bishops. The permanent diaconate will thus be restored only after a thousand years, by the Second Vatican Council. And note that not all the dioceses of the world have ordained permanent deacons, who are absent, for example, in most African countries, in order not to generate confusion among Catholic populations; and especially where, for anthropological and cultural reasons, the rules relating to the chastity of celibacy by priests are not always applied. In the heart of Europe, in Poland, the first two permanent deacons were ordained only four decades after the Second Vatican Council, in 2009.

Married priests have always existed in the Eastern Catholic clergy, but they could exercise their ministry only in the dioceses belonging to their rite: a rite regulated, among other things, also by the Code of Canon Law of the Eastern Churches. However, since great general tests are under way, many of these priests and their families have been received in various Italian dioceses, beginning with the Archdiocese of Perugia, whose archbishop is not a bishop among many, but the president of Episcopal Conference of Italy. Faced with this, I limit myself to asking: in the event of disputes involving a married priest, what right will be applied, the Latin or that of the Eastern Churches? Obviously I know well that the canon law has been replaced by pure free will, which then turns into authentic arrogance that comes to life from the lack of any rule, but the rhetorical question sounds good, and even if it is useless, I do the same. Regarding the possible incardination in the dioceses of the Latin rite, as may happen, the incardination of married priests of the Eastern rite, specifically Latinized, since they are useful for the general tests under way, which aim to please the Head and the circle of his most trusted advisors? All this could be explained by His Eminence Cardinal Gualtiero Bassetti, who is metropolitan archbishop of Perugia and president of the Italian bishops, and which houses two married priests in his diocese, to anticipate the decisions of the Synod of the Amazon, which we remember: the decisions have already been taken before the opening of the Synod [service **HERE**].

Having said this, a question arises: for the region of the Amazon, an experiment is asked to consecrate priests married men, because there is lack of clergy. In



Rome, instead, for to entrust a parish to a permanent deacon, what a dramatic lack of clergy there is? Because looking at the diocesan yearbook we find that the metropolitan parishes are 332. The Roman presbytery has 1,256 presbyteries, to which are added 2,929 presbyters of other dioceses residing in Rome. To these secular priests, 5,317 members of the regular clergy (the presbyters of the various religious orders) and 87 other priests belonging to various personal prelatures are added [Official Yearbook of the Diocese of Rome: HERE]. In Rome there are therefore a total of about 9,580 presbyters, among Roman presbyters, presbyters residing in the diocese and presbyters of various religious families. The Diocese of Rome has about 2,350,000 baptized. If we divide the number of Catholic faithful with the number we will have this result: one priest for every 250 faithful. And all this in a Rome with increasingly empty churches. It is also good to specify that the territory of the Diocese of Rome is limited only to the Capital of Italy, because out of the city, in the Municipality of Rome, arise the suffragan dioceses. Question: was it therefore necessary, as an exotic experiment, to entrust a parish to a permanent deacon in the Mother and Mother Church of all the Catholic Churches? Therefore we note that while the Synod of Youth is being celebrated, the general rehearsals for the Amazon, from which the married priests will have to go out, ad experimentum, are already underway, because everything has already been established. I repeat: only ad experimentum and after convening the Pontifical Parliament of North Korea, where presumably dissidents end up on the heads of missiles fired during military exercises. Things are worse in Rome than in North Korea, never in the history of the Church has mercy made so many victims! A new term has also been born, not easily translatable: "i misericordiati". And this term "misericordiati" rhymes with "giustiziati", the sentenceds to death.

If Cardinal Gerhard Ludwig Müller, in a recent long interview with Raymond Arroyo, has returned to various topics: on the speech of the evil friends who surround the Roman Pontiff, who were sought by him with the *lantern of Diogenes*; therefore on the argument that His Excellency Monsignor Carlo Maria Viganò, did not say anything other than the truth [cf. HERE, HERE], this happened because the former Prefect of the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith is a true man of



to open the video click on the image

© L'Isola di Patmos - The Island of Patmos

Rivista telematica di teologia ecclesiale e di aggiornamento pastorale - Telematic magazine of ecclesial theology and pastoral updating article published October 7, 2018. Author: Father Ariel S. Levi di Gualdo



God. Add to what the Cardinal Gerhard Ludwig Müller, at the anthropological level, contains in himself the strength of the Teutonic barbarian, in the noblest sense of the term. In fact, we remember that when the barbarians descended from northern Europe while the Roman empire was in agony, they found the holders of power, drunk and made up by women, engaged in playing inside the alcoves with young men [cf. my previous article, HERE]. Or, in other words: the Romans, during the decadence of the Empire, lived a LBGT lifestyle that had long absorbed the S.P.Q.R. lifestyle, an acronym that once summarized the concept and foundation of Senatus Populus Quirites Romani and later Senatus Populus Que Romanus [Senate of the Roman People]. The difference, as I have often emphasized, was that the barbarians, struck by the virile temperament of the great Fathers of the Church, in that climate of total decadence, they were converted, in mass, to Christianity. In today's Rome, the new barbarians, from whom should they be conquered to Christianity? Perhaps by four homosexuals clinging like polyps to the throne, to which all in all they are very useful, being the most devoted ruffians, the most interested servants, the most efficient spies, as well as easily managed and manipulated by the sovereign, who knows, one after the other, all the rotten corpses that remain locked up in their graves? And their tombs are very different from those of the ancient Pharisees [cf. Mt 23, 27-32], because the tombs of the Pharisees were at least well painted on the outside.

I am afraid that no one has analyzed the historical relationship that links the psychology of Cardinal Gerhard Ludwig Müller to the history of his native country, which is Germany. Today the situation of the visible Church is comparable to the defeat of Germany in 1945, with Adolf Hitler locked up in the bunker of Berlin, along with the restricted court of his faithful, while faced with the defeat, the German army continued to fight, up to enlist teenagers of sixteen. To deceive the German people, word spread that the Great Secret Weapon would soon be completed and used. In the same way, today, the main propagandists of *Pontifical Pravda*, not so much defend the indefensible — which can also be understandable and even justifiable on a human and psychological level — but show total insensitivity, while knowing all the details of the lives of many saints priests who have been totally marginalized and ruined, for not having accepted to become accomplices of a diabolical system of corruption, preferring to go to great suffering, without betraying the Church of Christ and coming to terms with evil. And this makes some Italian journalists of *Pravda Pontifical*, unscrupulous men, real criminals deprived of basic Christian sentiments. So it is good to inform these people that the bridge over the river now overflows with patients spectators who



await the passage of their bodies dragged by the current, when with an ease that would scandalize even the lowest level whores, after the next conclave, like chameleons they will change skin color. The is that instead they will not have to change color, they will have to change jobs, because in the face of every sigh, all the writings with which they have sustained the untenable and defended the indefensible, to the detriment of the truth and the innocent affected and injured, will be taken and thrown publicly on their faces. These journalists of *Pontifical Pravda* have not even hesitated to falsify facts and news. They spread false news and concealed the truth to the detriment of the victims and the suffering of many holy priests. They "licked the ass" of the executioners, showing total indifference towards the victims affected and persecuted within the Church, of whom they knew very well the stories and the great sufferings. And all this, it is not simply inhumane, because it is really satanic. And the devil can never be allowed to enter through the window again, having thrown it out the door; and if by chance the Devil asks for forgiveness by pretending to be repentant, one must absolutely not believe him, because he is the Supreme Prince of Lies and deception.

After Adolf Hitler's death, Germany was hit by a wave of suicides; whole families committed suicide. The most common phrase that ran between the good Germans which we remember were many — was: «I'm ashamed to be German». I have already heard this kind of phrase in private from bishops and presbyters many times: «Faced with this situation, I am ashamed to belong to this Church», implying that this shame is entirely linked to the ecclesial and ecclesiastical structure of this visible Church, certainly not to the mystery of the Church, which is the mystical Body of Christ.

As we know, from the Berlin bunker, we then moved on to the Nuremberg trial, where the main Nazi leaders were judged and condemned on the charred body of the Führer, but above all on the ruins of Germany. And many of the Nazi leaders were sentenced to death in that trial. Seventy-three years after the last great world war, the New Church of Mercy has removed the more remote and exceptional hypothesis of the death penalty from the Catechism. Therefore, if tomorrow something similar to the Nuremberg trial were to happen, we will be "merciful" to those responsible for the death of millions and millions of people, and we will entrust them to social services [cf. our previous articles: HERE, HERE, HERE].

The Nuremberg trial is about to be repeated in the Church. Tomorrow, sooner or later, on the disastrous ruins of destruction, while bishops and priests will tell the world «I am ashamed to belong to this Church», we will see before the judges an army of cardinals and bishops who will try to justify themselves by say-

© L'Isola di Patmos - The Island of Patmos



ing: «I only obeyed higher orders!». While the journalists of the former *Pontifical Pravda*, who can no longer recycle themselves, will say on their side: «We have only written what we have been ordered to write!». Then the judges will ask: «Your Excellency ... Your Eminence ... Monsignor ... but you realize that your obedience to the higher orders has covered the perpetrators of serious crimes and has seriously affected the innocent, subjected to every kind of suffering and anguish?» At that point the answer will be: «But I was bound by the pontifical secret!».

The death of Christ the Lord, in its substance, is renewed, and over time it is proposed in different ways. Not by chance, his glorious body, after the resurrection, still lives with the signs of passion. So today Christ died again on the cross because bishops and cardinals have «obeyed the higher orders», and when in the day of their judgment, God will ask them: «why did not you act in defense of the Church and of the People of God?». They will answer: «But I was bound by pontifical secrecy!».

It's just a matter of little time. We let this monster give the last dangerous and deadly blows, because soon we will be in the great hall of Nuremberg, to listen to those directly responsible for the Holocaust of the Catholic Church that they say: «I have only obeyed the higher orders!». And we, precisely because of profound and authentic mercy, will guarantee them the expiatory grace of the gallows. Because we who today are suffering, anguished and persecuted in our home, we are the salvation and the future of the Church of Christ, of the pilgrim Church on earth. And no one will ever prevent us from fulfilling our mission for Christ, with Christ and in Christ. Because the church is of Christ, it is not of Peter, who is the Vicar of Christ, not his Successor. And the power given to Peter is not at all total and absolute as some would have us believe, indeed: it is a very vincolated power. The power of Peter is strictly vincolated to the deposit of the Catholic faith, of Tradition and of doctrine. Peter is not the absolute master of the Church, on the contrary: he is his first and faithful servant, called to guard the truth and to confirm his brothers in faith [cf. Lk 22, 31-34]. Peter's mission, is not to convene the "democratic" Parliament of North Korea. Peter's mission is not to confuse the People of God, using ambiguous and unclear words, because Christ teaches us: «But let your statement be, "Yes, yes" or "No, no"; anything beyond these is of Evil» [cf. Mt 5, 37].



In the Holy Gospels, everything is very clear, no any "sly" has ever inserted ambiguous small footnotes. Having said this, it is still necessary to clarify: it is true, no priest, bishop or cardinal is obliged to be a hero. But for a priest, a bishop or a cardinal, it is certainly not a great human and Christian honor to be a rabbit who, opposite the judgment of history, replies: «I only obeyed the higher orders».

Rome, 7 October 2018

I apologize to the Readers if there were errors in my English translation.